

Name, score:	Fully accomplishes the aim. Shows full grasp of requirements. Thorough, insightful.	Substantially accomplishes the aim. Shows essential grasp of the goal. Complete, satisfactory	Partially accomplishes the aim. Limited grasp of the goal. Work may be incomplete, misdirected or unclear.	Little progress or limited grasp of the goal. Ideas/concepts unclear or buried. Attempts made, but unsuccessful.	No progress towards aim. May be inconsistent, off-topic, or simplistic. Little or no engagement with requirements.
Format: double spacing, 1" margins, cover page, page numbers, no name on interior pages.					
Language mechanics: grammar, punctuation, diction, clarity, readability of prose, proof reading					
Thesis: clearly stated, substantive, and distinctive. Statement of specific insight of paper.					
Clarity of structure: (incl. roadmap & signposting): intro remarks on content to follow; identification throughout of what is being shown					

<p>Originality: demonstrated novelty of the ideas, interpretation, arguments, examples, or claims</p>					
<p>Quality of substantive content: strong arguments, illuminating examples, insightful discussion</p>					
<p>Further insight: consideration of criticism, identification of further research, specification of limits of the proposal</p>					
<p>Scholarship: research quality, appropriate citation practices</p>					

Additional comments, if necessary: